UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,519	07/27/2006	Dominique Hanot	2590-159	2460
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 04/04/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			LEYSON, JOSEPH S	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/587,519	HANOT, DOMINIQUE		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JOSEPH LEYSON	1791		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No. This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 13-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 13-23 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the B			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · ·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		, tollon of rollin 1 to 10 2 1		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/27/06;11/17/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the disclosure (i.e., p. 2, lines 8-9) should NOT refer to the claims because claim number and content can change during prosecution thereof; and the disclosure (i.e., p. 12, lines 7-8) recites "such as that already described in the chapter metering nozzle: variant" which chapter has no antecedent basis making it unclear to what it refers and which should be changed to --such as that already described--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 13-23 are objected to because of the following informalities: for proper idiomatic language, "the said" (all occurrences) in claims 13, 16, 19 and 22 should be changed to --said--; and in claim 23, line 1, "as defined Claim 13" should be changed to --as defined in Claim 13--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1791

Claim 17 recites "i.e. a straight part and then a helix (12, 36) of decreasing depth" which is indefinite because it is not clear whether or not the limitations after "i.e." are being positively claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 13-23 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the respective objection(s) and/or rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not teach or reasonably suggest the metering unit, as disclosed by instant claims 13-23, particularly including the metering cavity which may communicate with the material feed duct, the material outlet orifice placed on the wall of the metering cavity, the valve in the form of the cylindrical rod designed to slide through and close off the material outlet orifice, the piston mounted so as to slide coaxially around the valve so as to allow the volume of the metering cavity to be varied, the side wall of the piston having the through-passage suitable for permitting material to be conveyed between the material feed duct and the inside of the piston, the valve being designed to momentarily close the through-passage. Multiple references are cited on the International Search Report as "X" references. However, these references do NOT disclose the limitations mentioned above. For example, none of the references disclose the valve being designed to momentarily close the through-passage of the piston (of course in view of all the other claim limitations given to the valve and the piston).

Art Unit: 1791

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. All the references cited on the attached Form PTO-892 are cited as of interest to show the state of the art.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSEPH LEYSON whose telephone number is (571)272-5061. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gupta Yogendra can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert B. Davis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791 3/30/08

/J. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 1791